

CHAPTER Five: Conclusion	2
<i>5.6. Conclusion</i>	2
<i>5.7. Implications for Scope and Limitation</i>	2
<i>5.8. Implication for Research Design</i>	2
References	4
Appendix-1	11
Questionnaire	11

CHAPTER Five: Conclusion

5.6. Conclusion

It can be concluded from the results discussed in the previous tables that the researcher gained mixed reviews on the role of Indonesian government on the promotion of social entrepreneurship. Most of the respondents reported that the government is less supportive in terms of funding provided to the social entrepreneurs, whereas, some of the respondents were in the favour that the government has supported the social entrepreneurs in the country. However, most of the respondents found it important for the government to promote the idea of innovation in their business which can eventually contribute towards the growth and development of the country. In addition to the above statement, it is recommended that the government should focus on providing adequate measures for promoting social entrepreneurship in the country.

5.7. Implications for Scope and Limitation

There were certain limitations faced during the research process as the researcher found it difficult to access a large number of respondents which is the reason only 100 responses were collected through online surveys. In addition, the scope of the research was limited to the Indonesia which helped the researcher in understanding the role of government of Indonesia in the promotion of social entrepreneurship.

5.8. Implication for Research Design

The implications for the quantitative research design were focused towards the convenience and keeping into consideration the budget and time of the researcher. The

research results will also be helpful for the future researchers who wish to carry out their research in the field of social entrepreneurship with the specific focus on the Indonesia. Through this research, the researcher was able to understand the main idea behind the social entrepreneurship and how the government of Indonesian plays its role for the promotion of the social business.

References

- Abereijo, I.O., 2016. Ensuring environmental sustainability through sustainable entrepreneurship. *Economic modeling, analysis, and policy for sustainability*, pp.234-249.
- Acs, Z.J., Autio, E. and Szerb, L., 2014. National systems of entrepreneurship: Measurement issues and policy implications. *Research Policy*, 43(3), pp.476-494.
- Almeida, P.I., Ahmetoglu, G. and Chamorro-Premuzic, T., 2014. Who wants to be an entrepreneur? The relationship between vocational interests and individual differences in entrepreneurship. *Journal of Career Assessment*, 22(1), pp.102-112.
- Anggadwita, G. and Dhewanto, W., 2016. The influence of personal attitude and social perception on women entrepreneurial intentions in micro and small enterprises in Indonesia. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business*, 27(2-3), pp.131-148.
- Bacq, S., Hartog, C. and Hoogendoorn, B., 2013. A quantitative comparison of social and commercial entrepreneurship: Toward a more nuanced understanding of social entrepreneurship organizations in context. *Journal of Social Entrepreneurship*, 4(1), pp.40-68.
- Bae, T.J., Qian, S., Miao, C. and Fiet, J.O., 2014. The relationship between entrepreneurship education and entrepreneurial intentions: A meta-analytic review. *Entrepreneurship theory and practice*, 38(2), pp.217-254.
- Becker, G.S., 2013. *The economic approach to human behavior*. University of Chicago press.
- British Council. 2017. *UK launches international social investment strategy*. [ONLINE] Available at: <https://www.britishcouncil.org/society/social-enterprise/news-events/news-uk-international-social-investment-strategy>. [Accessed 31 July 2017].

- Bromley, P. and Meyer, J.W., 2014. "They Are All Organizations" The Cultural Roots of Blurring Between the Nonprofit, Business, and Government Sectors. *Administration & Society*.
- Bryman, A. and Bell, E., 2015. *Business research methods*. Oxford University Press, USA.
- Bryman, A., 2015. *Social research methods*. Oxford university press.
- Choi, N. and Majumdar, S., 2014. Social entrepreneurship as an essentially contested concept: Opening a new avenue for systematic future research. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 29(3), pp.363-376.
- Choi, N. and Majumdar, S., 2014. Social entrepreneurship as an essentially contested concept: Opening a new avenue for systematic future research. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 29(3), pp.363-376.
- Cotterrell, R., 2013. *Law, culture and society: Legal ideas in the mirror of social theory*. Ashgate Publishing, Ltd..
- Covaleski, M.A., Dirsmith, M.W. and Weiss, J.M., 2013. The social construction, challenge and transformation of a budgetary regime: The endogenization of welfare regulation by institutional entrepreneurs. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 38(5), pp.333-364.
- Creswell, J.W., 2013. *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. Sage publications.
- Di Zhang, D. and Swanson, L.A., 2013. Social entrepreneurship in nonprofit organizations: An empirical investigation of the synergy between social and business objectives. *Journal of Nonprofit & Public Sector Marketing*, 25(1), pp.105-125.
- Fowler Jr, F.J., 2013. *Survey research methods*. Sage publications.

- Frynas, J.G. and Stephens, S., 2015. Political corporate social responsibility: Reviewing theories and setting new agendas. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 17(4), pp.483-509.
- Fuller, T., Pearson, M., Peters, J. and Anderson, R., 2015. What affects authors' and editors' use of reporting guidelines? Findings from an online survey and qualitative interviews. *PloS one*, 10(4), p.e0121585.
- Giddens, A., 2013. *The third way: The renewal of social democracy*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Hayllar, M.R. and Wettenhall, R., 2013. As public goes private, social emerges: The rise of social enterprise. *Public Organization Review*, 13(2), p.207.
- Idris, A. and Hijrah Hati, R., 2013. Social entrepreneurship in Indonesia: Lessons from the past. *Journal of Social Entrepreneurship*, 4(3), pp.277-301.
- Jain, R. and Ali, S.W., 2013. A review of facilitators, barriers and gateways to entrepreneurship: directions for future research. *South Asian Journal of Management*, 20(3), p.122.
- Kickul, J. and Lyons, T.S., 2016. *Understanding social entrepreneurship: The relentless pursuit of mission in an ever changing world*. Routledge.
- Kostetska, I. and Berezyak, I., 2014. Social entrepreneurship as an innovative solution mechanism of social problems of society. *Management Theory and Studies for Rural Business and Infrastructure Development*, 36(3), pp.569-577.
- Latchem, C.R., 2014. Informal learning and non-formal education for development. *Journal of Learning for Development-JLAD*, 1(1).
- Lee, I., 2015. A social enterprise business model for social entrepreneurs: theoretical foundations and model development. *International Journal of Social Entrepreneurship and Innovation*, 3(4), pp.269-301.

- Leitch, C.M., McMullan, C. and Harrison, R.T., 2013. The development of entrepreneurial leadership: The role of human, social and institutional capital. *British Journal of Management*, 24(3), pp.347-366.
- Leonardi, P.M., Huysman, M. and Steinfield, C., 2013. Enterprise social media: Definition, history, and prospects for the study of social technologies in organizations. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 19(1), pp.1-19.
- Lombard, A., 2014. Entrepreneurship In Africa: Social Work Challenges For Human, Social And Economic Development. *Social Work/Maatskaplike Werk*, 39(3).
- Macmillan, R., 2013. 'Distinction' in the third sector. *Voluntary Sector Review*, 4(1), pp.39-54.
- Mazzucato, M., 2015. *The entrepreneurial state: Debunking public vs. private sector myths* (Vol. 1). Anthem Press.
- Mertens, D.M., 2014. *Research and evaluation in education and psychology: Integrating diversity with quantitative, qualitative, and mixed methods*. Sage publications.
- Nandan, M., London, M. and Blum, T.C., 2014. Community practice social entrepreneurship: an interdisciplinary approach to graduate education. *International Journal of Social Entrepreneurship and Innovation*, 3(1), pp.51-70.
- Osburg, T. and Schmidpeter, R., 2013. Social innovation. *Solutions for a sustainable future*. Springer.
- Packard, M.D., 2017. Where did interpretivism go in the theory of entrepreneurship?. *Journal of Business Venturing*.
- Payumo, J.G., Arasu, P., Fauzi, A.M., Siregar, I.Z. and Noviana, D., 2014. An entrepreneurial, research-based university model focused on intellectual property management for economic development in emerging economies: The case of Bogor Agricultural University, Indonesia. *World patent information*, 36, pp.22-31.

- Phillips, W., Lee, H., Ghobadian, A., O'Regan, N. and James, P., 2015. Social innovation and social entrepreneurship: A systematic review. *Group & Organization Management*, 40(3), pp.428-461.
- Razafindrambinina, D. and Sabran, A., 2014. The impact of strategic corporate social responsibility on operating performance: An Investigation Using Data Envelopment Analysis In Indonesia. *Journal of Business Studies Quarterly*, 6(1), p.68.
- Razafindrambinina, D. and Sabran, A., 2014. The impact of strategic corporate social responsibility on operating performance: An Investigation Using Data Envelopment Analysis In Indonesia. *Journal of Business Studies Quarterly*, 6(1), p.68.
- Ridley-Duff, R. and Bull, M., 2015. *Understanding social enterprise: Theory and practice*. Sage.
- Roth, S., 2014. The eye-patch of the beholder: introduction to entrepreneurship and piracy. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business*, 22(4), pp.399-407.
- Rouboutsos, A. and Pantelias, A., 2015. Allocating revenue risk in transport infrastructure public private partnership projects: How it matters. *Transport Reviews*, 35(2), pp.183-203.
- Salamon, L.M. and Sokolowski, S.W., 2016. Beyond nonprofits: Re-conceptualizing the third sector. *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 27(4), pp.1515-1545.
- Sánchez, J.C., 2013. The impact of an entrepreneurship education program on entrepreneurial competencies and intention. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 51(3), pp.447-465.
- Scaffa, M.E. and Reitz, S.M., 2013. *Occupational therapy community-based practice settings*. FA Davis.

- Schmidt, F.L. and Hunter, J.E., 2014. *Methods of meta-analysis: Correcting error and bias in research findings*. Sage publications.
- Schönbrodt, F.D. and Perugini, M., 2013. At what sample size do correlations stabilize?. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 47(5), pp.609-612.
- Sekliuckiene, J. and Kisielius, E., 2015. Development of social entrepreneurship initiatives: a theoretical framework. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 213, pp.1015-1019.
- Tsang, E.W., 2014. Case studies and generalization in information systems research: A critical realist perspective. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 23(2), pp.174-186.
- Turner, S., 2013. *Indonesia's small entrepreneurs: Trading on the margins*. Routledge.
- Uprichard, E., 2013. Sampling: bridging probability and non-probability designs. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 16(1), pp.1-11.
- Vanevenhoven, J. and Liguori, E., 2013. The impact of entrepreneurship education: Introducing the entrepreneurship education project. *Journal of small business management*, 51(3), pp.315-328.
- Vickers, I. and Lyon, F., 2014. Beyond green niches? Growth strategies of environmentally-motivated social enterprises. *International Small Business Journal*, 32(4), pp.449-470.
- Wiguna, A.B. and Manzilati, A., 2014. Social Entrepreneurship and Socio-entrepreneurship: A Study with Economic and Social Perspective. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 115, pp.12-18.
- Wilson, F. and Post, J.E., 2013. Business models for people, planet (& profits): exploring the phenomena of social business, a market-based approach to social value creation. *Small Business Economics*, pp.1-23.
- Zahra, S.A. and Wright, M., 2016. Understanding the social role of entrepreneurship. *Journal of Management Studies*, 53(4), pp.610-629.

Zahra, S.A., Newey, L.R. and Li, Y., 2014. On the frontiers: The implications of social entrepreneurship for international entrepreneurship. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 38(1), pp.137-158.

Appendix-1

Questionnaire

Participants Information Sheet

The following questionnaire has been designed in order to assess the role of government in promoting social enterprise among students in Indonesia.

Gender

- ☐ Male
- ☒ Female

Age

- ☐ 18 - 21
- ☐ 22 - 25
- ☐ 26 - 30
- ☐ 31 - 34
- ☐ 35 +

Questions

1. Which one of the following statements best matches your understanding of social entrepreneurship?

- ☐ Individuals with innovative solutions to society's most pressing social problems
- ☐ Social enterprises are a charity that uses social media for fundraising
- ☐ Independent business individuals that act as agents of change for the environment
- ☐ Social enterprises are about looking for solutions to poor people problems

2. Are you interested in being a Social Entrepreneurship?

Appendix-1

Questionnaire

Participants Information Sheet

The following questionnaire has been designed in order to assess the role of government in promoting social enterprise among students in Indonesia.

Gender

- ☐ Male
- ☒ Female

Age

- ☐ 18 - 21
- ☐ 22 - 25
- ☐ 26 - 30
- ☐ 31 - 34
- ☐ 35 +

Questions

1. Which one of the following statements best matches your understanding of social entrepreneurship?

- ☐ Individuals with innovative solutions to society's most pressing social problems
- ☐ Social enterprises are a charity that uses social media for fundraising
- ☐ Independent business individuals that act as agents of change for the environment
- ☐ Social enterprises are about looking for solutions to poor people problems

2. Are you interested in being a Social Entrepreneurship?

- ☐ Very Interested
- ☐ Interested
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Not Interested
- ☐ Very Not Interested

3. Which sectors are you interested if you become a Social Entrepreneur in Indonesia?

- ☐ Agriculture
- ☐ Poverty
- ☐ Healthcare
- ☐ Education
- ☐ Fishery
- ☐ Not Interesting in Social Entrepreneurship
- ☐ Other

If you selected Other, please specify:

Your answer should be no more than 100 characters long.

4. What do you think is the most common challenge faced by Social Entrepreneurship?

- ☐ Funds
- ☐ Lack of Entrepreneurship Education
- ☐ Strategy
- ☐ Other

If you selected Other, please specify:

Your answer should be no more than 100 characters long.

5. If you are interested in becoming a Social Entrepreneurship in the future, what kind of support do you expecting from the Indonesian Government?

- ☐ Funds
- ☐ Education about Social Entrepreneurship
- ☐ Ease in Obtaining Formal Legality
- ☐ Guarantee of Intellectual Property
- ☐ Continuity of Business
- ☐ Other

If you selected other, please specify:

Your answer should be no more than 100 characters long.

How much do you agree with each following questions

6. Indonesian Government provides adequate management consultancy and legal counseling

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Do Not Know

7. Indonesian Government provides adequate marketing support in terms of Social Entrepreneurship

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Do Not Know

8. Indonesian Government provides adequate financial support in terms of Social Entrepreneurship

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Do Not Know

9. Social enterprises play an important role as an economic agent for Indonesia

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree

- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Do Not Know

10. Regulatory environments and bureaucratic procedures are favourable in Indonesia for Social Entrepreneurs

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Do Not Know

11. Social Entrepreneurs have adequate capacities to facilitate governmental procedures

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Do Not Know

12. The government assist in providing new sources of funding for the social entrepreneurship

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree

- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Do Not Know

13. Government assesses the performance of social entrepreneurs' businesses to support if the business deficient in certain way

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Do Not Know

14. The government continue to boost the access of funding for the social entrepreneurs

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Do Not Know

15. Government also promotes the idea of innovation to the social entrepreneurs

- ☐ Strongly Agree

- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Do Not Know

- ☐ Very Interested
- ☐ Interested
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Not Interested
- ☐ Very Not Interested

3. Which sectors are you interested if you become a Social Entrepreneur in Indonesia?

- ☐ Agriculture
- ☐ Poverty
- ☐ Healthcare
- ☐ Education
- ☐ Fishery
- ☐ Not Interesting in Social Entrepreneurship
- ☐ Other

If you selected Other, please specify:

Your answer should be no more than 100 characters long.

4. What do you think is the most common challenge faced by Social Entrepreneurship?

- ☐ Funds
- ☐ Lack of Entrepreneurship Education
- ☐ Strategy
- ☐ Other

If you selected Other, please specify:

Your answer should be no more than 100 characters long.

5. If you are interested in becoming a Social Entrepreneurship in the future, what kind of support do you expecting from the Indonesian Government?

- ☐ Funds
- ☐ Education about Social Entrepreneurship
- ☐ Ease in Obtaining Formal Legality
- ☐ Guarantee of Intellectual Property
- ☐ Continuity of Business
- ☐ Other

If you selected other, please specify:

Your answer should be no more than 100 characters long.

How much do you agree with each following questions

6. Indonesian Government provides adequate management consultancy and legal counseling

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Do Not Know

7. Indonesian Government provides adequate marketing support in terms of Social Entrepreneurship

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Do Not Know

8. Indonesian Government provides adequate financial support in terms of Social Entrepreneurship

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Do Not Know

9. Social enterprises play an important role as an economic agent for Indonesia

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree

- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Do Not Know

10. Regulatory environments and bureaucratic procedures are favourable in Indonesia for Social Entrepreneurs

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Do Not Know

11. Social Entrepreneurs have adequate capacities to facilitate governmental procedures

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Do Not Know

12. The government assist in providing new sources of funding for the social entrepreneurship

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree

- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Do Not Know

13. Government assesses the performance of social entrepreneurs' businesses to support if the business deficient in certain way

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Do Not Know

14. The government continue to boost the access of funding for the social entrepreneurs

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Do Not Know

15. Government also promotes the idea of innovation to the social entrepreneurs

- ☐ Strongly Agree

- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neutral
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Do Not Know